

Thank you for your interest in our services. Please provide us with the following information, which we will use to prepare an individual and binding quotation.

A. General information for Responsible Party

A.1 Responsible Party

Responsible Party with legal form			
Address:			
Postcode, Town:			<u>Country:</u>
Contact:	Mr/Mrs (First name/Second r	name)	
Function:	Quality Representative;	Other:	
Telephone:	<u></u> <u>lr</u>	nternet:	www.
<u>Telefax:</u>	<u>E</u>	-Mail:	
Sector:			
A.2 Client			
<u>Client</u> with legal form			
Address:			
Postcode, Town:			<u>Country:</u>
Contact:	Mr/Mrs (First name/Second r	name)	
Function:	Quality Representative;	Other:	
<u>Telephone:</u>	<u> </u>	nternet:	www.
<u>Telefax:</u>	<u>E</u>	-Mail:	
		-	



Sector:

B. Interested Parties

Intended user	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
(<i>e.g</i> Client, RP, Program Owners, Regulators, Finansial Community, General Public or other interested parties such as NGO, Governance, <i>etc.</i>)		

C. GHG Quantifications

In the CLIC Colouisted by a consultant		
Is the GHG Calculated by a consultant		\Box Yes, please state the name of the consultant.
		Click or tap here to enter text.
		□ No
Was a special computer software used for the calculation	:	\Box Yes, please state the name of the software
		Click or tap here to enter text.
		□ No
Type of Engagement	:	Organizational GHG Verification
Number of Site	:	□ Single Site with multiple facilities
		Note Click or tap here to enter text.
		v Multisite with separate facilities
		Note Click or tap here to enter text.
		If multisite please fill in Point F and Annex A
(For Multisite only)	:	□ Yes
Does the data management system in		□ No
all sites/facilities/processes has the similarity with central function?		*) If the organization is multi-location company and the data management system has no similarity, please fill in the Table B per site in annex A. Multisite
Will the GHG emission report be	:	
published?		□ No.
Is your company already verified before?	:	□ Yes □ No



Please define or submit your data flow information include level of data transfer?	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
 Does your organization have 1. Internal GHG Verification Report which conforming to ISO 14064-1 clause 9.3 (GHG Report Content) 2. ISO 14064-1 is part of the verification criteria and the requirements are not reduced (If all the above subjects are fulfilled, then LV can issued the opinion when your GHG statement does not include quantified GHG emissions data related to your organization) 	:	□ Yes □ No. □ Yes □ No.
 Which criteria (standard/regulations/etc) ISO 14064-1:2018 Regulated GHG Program: Click or tap Publicly available GHG Program: Click Non-Public Industry or Sector Protocols: 	o he or	ere to enter text. tap here to enter text.
What's the GHG Programmes within the scope of verification ?	:	e.g JCM / GHG Protocol/
Which level of assurance (LoA) shall be applied for the verification?	:	Limited Reasonable
Materiality Thresholds (%) Who does specify its materiality Thresholds? (If no materiality Tresholds has been specified by intended user: 5% : for organization 3% : Facilities	:	% □ Intended user □ agreed verifier & client
2% : Level of emission sources Organizational Boundaries (Facilities, phsycal infrastructure, activities, technologies and processes)	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Verification reporting period	:	(dd/mm/yyyy) - (dd/mm/yyyy)



Time and user target for user, verification reporting and statements	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
GHG SSR ¹ (Please identify all relevant GHG SSRs	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
that included in reporting boundaries)		
Types of GHGs (e.g CH4, N2O, CO2, SF6, PFCS, HFCS)	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Baseline year Verification ²	:	(e.g 2017)
Quantification Methodologies ³	:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Measurement in relatif CO2eq		□ Yes
		No , if no please convert
Annual Total Emission (Tonne CO2eq)	:	
Has the Data Management System been certifed of ISO 27001/ ISO 20000?	:	☐ Yes The validity of cortificate : Click or tap here to enter text
		The validity of certificate : Click or tap here to enter text.
		□ No.
Does the GHG Statement include quantified GHG emissions data related	:	□ Yes
to an Organisation?		□ No

D. Data Management System

*) If the organization is multi-location company and the data management system has no similarity, please fill in the Table B per site in annex A. Multisite

No.	Data Ma	Please Specify	
1.	Degree of automation	 data management systems is automated data management systems is manual components 	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.	Use of database features		

¹GHG SSR is GHG source, sink, reservoir

² Specific, historical period identified for the purpose of comparing GHG Emission or GHG Removals or other GHG related information over time. Baseline year may use the first year verification reporting

³ The process of obtaining data and determining the emissions or removals from a source or sink. GHG Emissions or removals can be obtained through measurement or modelling. e.g mass balance, modelling, etc



No.	Data Ma	nagement System	Please Specify
		□data management systems is based on data warehouses or databases	Click or tap here to enter text.
		□data management systems is based on spreadsheets or hard copies.	
3.	Length of operation	□data management systems has been operational for several years	Click or tap here to enter text.
		□ data management systems has just been implemented	
4.	Linkage to other systems	□data management systems that is linked in with operational systems or financial systems are usually of higher quality than those are stand-alone. □data management systems is stand-	Click or tap here to enter text.
		alone.	
5.	Standardization within an organization	□data management systems is consistently applied throughout the organization.	Click or tap here to enter text.
		□data management systems is consistently applied throughout the multiple platforms.	
6.	Transparency of calculations	□data management systems with embedded in libraries and scripts	Click or tap here to enter text.
		□data management systems with "black box" systems.	

E. <u>Reporting Boundaries</u>

Please complete the table below based on your applicable business process. If there is not subcategories that quantified, please state Not Applicable (NA) or Not Significant (NS) and justify its exclusion

E.g : Scope of client is Palm Oil Plantation

Category and Sub-Category	Emission Quantified	Emission Sources	Reasons for categories not quantified or partially quantified. If emissions for the category are negligible, indicate a rough
Category 1 Direct GHG emissions and remove			



Category and Sub-Category 1.1 Direct emissions from stationary combustion 1.2 Direct emissions from mobile combustion 1.3 Direct process emissions and removals 1.4 Direct fugitive emissions arise from the	Emission Quantified	Emission Sources	Reasons for categories not quantified or partially quantified. If emissions for the category are negligible, indicate a rough
release of greenhouse gases in anthropogenic sytems 1.5 Direct emissions and removals from Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) Category 2 Energi GHG indirect emissions	□yes □no		
Category 2 Energi Ono indirect emissions			
2.1 Indirect emissions from imported electricity consumed	□yes □no		
2.2 Indirect emissions from imported energi, including GHG Emissions related to the production of energi consumed by the organization through a physical network (steam, heating, cooling, compressed air) excluding electricity	□yes □no		
Category 3 Indirect GHG emissions from Trai	nsportation		
3.1 Emissions from upstream transport and distribution for goods	□yes □no □partial		
3.2 Emissions from downstream transport and distribution for goods	□yes □no □partial		
3.3 Emissions from employee commuting includes emissions	□yes □no □partial		
3.4 Emissions from client and vistor transport	□yes □no □partial		
3.5 Emissions from business travel	□yes □no □partial		
Category 4 Indirect GHG emissions from God	ods used by Organiza	ation	
4.1 Emissions from purchased goods	□yes □no □partial		
4.2 Emissions from capital goods	□yes □no □partial		
4.3 Emissions from the disposal of solid and liquid waste	□yes □no □partial		
4.4 Emissions from use of assets	□yes □no □partial		
 4.5 Emissions from the use of services that are not described in the above subcategories (consulting, cleaning, maintenance, mail delivery, bank, etc) Category 5 Indirect GHG emissions associated 	□yes □no □partial	Product from	
Organization			
5.1 Emissions or removals from the use stage of the product	□yes □no □partial		
5.2 Emissions from downstream leased assets	□yes □no □partial		



Category and Sub-Category	Emission Quantified	Emission Sources	Reasons for categories not quantified or partially quantified. If emissions for the category are negligible, indicate a rough
5.3 Emissions from end of life stage of the product	vyes ⊟no □partial		
5.4 Emissions from investments	□yes □no □partial		
Category 6 - indirect GHG from other sources	□yes □no □partial		



F. Organizational Boundaries

Please complete the table below If you are multisite organization

Entities inside the organizational boundaries	Does your site have similarity of process & activities with the central office? (Yes or No)	Facilities, phsycal infrastructure, activities, technologies and processes	Description of the entity (subsidiary, joint- venture, etc.)	Consolidation Method used for the entity (Operational control approach or equity share approach) ⁴	% of GHG emissions and removals consolidated for the entity	Difference, if any, with financial accounting rules
e.g Anak perusahaan B	Yes	Palm oil plantation	subsdiary	operational control approach	100%	-
Site XYZ	No	Rubber plantation	subsdiary	operational control approach	100%	-
	Choose an i- tem.			Choose an item.		
	Choose an i- tem.			Choose an item.		

⁴ Operational Control Approach is counting all GHG emissions and/or removals from facilities that controlled by financial or operational Equity Share Approach is counting partially GHG emissions and/or removal form the facilities based on ownership percentage

G. Requested information / documents:

The following documents must be sent to TUV NORD Indonesia after the contract is approved at least 4 weeks before planning (strategic analysis) process is started.

Please thick (x) your availability documents below:

Document	Availability		Remarks
	yes	No	(documentation title, revision, document number, etc)
GHG Report			
Quantification Methodologies			
(including data selection and collection, selection or development of GHG quantification model)			
Calculation GHG emissions and its result			
a base-year review and recalculation procedures			
Is the GHG reduction initiatives implemented at the organization?			
GHG Information management procedures			
Document retention and record keeping procedures			
Procedure of process and criteria that define significant indirect emissions			
GHG Data Flow			
Emission Monitoring Plan (EMP)			
Previous Verification Report			

 Is your organization eligible to be verified ? √yes □no

• Any further information you think may be important for us?

Example: language differences, safety conditions issue at your site, threats to impartiality, etc.

Click or tap here to enter text.

We herewith confirm the completeness and accuracy of the information given above and in any annexes which may be attached. We agree that this information may be stored for the purposes of drafting an offer and processing any resulting order or transactions.

Place/Date

Name, Function

Signature*)

 $^{\star})$ If sending by email, the sender's address will be accepted



ANNEX A. MULTISITE

Total number of sites :

Site 1

Table A. Site Information

Central Office / Site	Location	Facilities, phsycal infrastructure, activities, technologies and processes	Annual Total Emis- sion (Tonne CO2eq)	Publication of emission report (Yes / No)	LoA	Type of GHG (e.g CH4, N ₂ O, CO2, SF6, PFCs, HFCs)
Site 1			Click or tap here to enter text.	⊠ Yes □ No	□ Reasonable ⊠ Limited	Click or tap here to enter text.



Table B. Data Management System

No.		Data Management System	Please Specify
1.	Degree of automation	 data management systems is automated data management systems is manual components 	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.	Use of database features	 data management systems is based on data warehouses or databases data management systems is based on spreadsheets or hard copies. 	Click or tap here to enter text.
3.	Length of operation	 □data management systems has been operational for several years □ data management systems has just been implemented 	Click or tap here to enter text.
4.	Linkage to other systems	 □data management systems that is linked in with operational systems or financial systems are usually of higher quality than those are stand-alone. □data management systems is stand-alone. 	Click or tap here to enter text.
5.	Standardization within an organization	 data management systems is consistently applied throughout the organization. data management systems is consistently applied throughout the multiple platforms. 	Click or tap here to enter text.
6.	Transparency of calculations	 □data management systems with embedded in libraries and scripts □data management systems with "black box" systems. 	Click or tap here to enter text.

TUV NORD Indonesia

Questionnaire of Verification

<u>Site 2</u>

Table A. Site Information

Central Office / Site	Location	Facilities, phsycal infrastructure, activities, technologies and processes	Annual Total Emis- sion (Tonne CO2eq)	Publication of emission report (Yes / No)	LoA	Type of GHG (e.g CH4, N ₂ O, CO2, SF6, PFCs, HFCs)
Site 2			Click or tap here to enter text.	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Reasonable ⊠ Limited	Click or tap here to enter text.

Table B. Data Management System

No.		Please Specify	
1.	Degree of automation	 data management systems is automated data management systems is manual components 	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.	Use of database features	 data management systems is based on data warehouses or databases data management systems is based on spreadsheets or hard copies. 	Click or tap here to enter text.
3.	Length of operation	 data management systems has been operational for several years data management systems has just been implemented 	Click or tap here to enter text.
4.	Linkage to other systems	□data management systems that is linked in with operational systems or financial systems are usually of higher quality than those are stand-alone.	Click or tap here to enter text.





No.	Data	Management System	Please Specify
		□data management systems is stand-alone.	
5.	Standardization within an organization	 data management systems is consistently applied throughout the organization. data management systems is consistently applied throughout the multiple platforms. 	Click or tap here to enter text.
6.	Transparency of calculations	 □data management systems with embedded in libraries and scripts □data management systems with "black box" systems. 	Click or tap here to enter text.

the obje	inderstood (e.g	pe of the verification be Remarks / addition ents against which the Remarks / addition nateriality and level of a	to be established ? omplexity) ? nally required information en agreed with the clien nally required information claim will be verified be nally required information	nt ? n: en identified n:
e claim u es the obje the spee re suital	ctives and sco	. context, content and o <u>Remarks / addition</u> pe of the verification be <u>Remarks / addition</u> ents against which the <u>Remarks / addition</u> nateriality and level of a	omplexity) ? nally required information en agreed with the clien nally required information ally required information	nt ? n: en identified n:
the objet the spectre suital	□ <u>no</u> ctives and sco □ <u>no</u> ctives and sco ctives and sco □ <u>no</u> ctives and sco □ <u>no</u> ctives and sco ctives and sco □ <u>no</u> ctives and sco ctives and sco ctives and sco □ <u>no</u> ctives and sco ctives and sco ctive	Remarks / addition	nally required information en agreed with the clien nally required information claim will be verified be nally required information	nt ? n: en identified n:
the spectre suital	☐ <u>no</u> cified requirement ole? ☐ <u>no</u> able, have the r	<u>Remarks / additions</u>	nally required information	<u>n:</u> en identified n:
re suital	ole? □ <u>no</u> able, have the r	□ <u>Remarks / addition</u>	nally required information	<u>n:</u>
		-	ssurance been agreed	?
		□ <u>Remarks / additio</u>	nally required information	
	ess for verificat gathered evide □ <u>no</u>		ed (e.g. evidence gathe	-
e calcula <u>S</u>	tion of verifica □ <u>no</u>			<u>n:</u>
		ATEAM Preliminary)		-
ſ	the com	the competency of veri	the competency of verification team and indep ector of verifications? (ATEAM Preliminary)	the competency of verification team and independent reviewer been sector of verifications? (ATEAM Preliminary)



). _ _	The time fran □ <u>yes</u>	ne for the plann □ <u>no</u>	ned verification can be proposed?
0.			ct of interest in between the verification body, client, and responsible level or company level? <u>Remarks / additionally required information:</u>
- 1.	Has the clien □ <u>yes</u>	t/RP completed □ <u>no</u>	the additional requirements of GHG Programme?
2.	<u>Does the Ria</u> □ <u>yes</u>	sk Assessmei □ <u>no</u>	nt require site visit or not?

All requirements for conducting the project in line with the defined circumstances are fulfilled. Therefore, the proposal can be approved.

<u>Jakarta,</u> Place / Date

Head of CB / Verifier Signature